General Equilibrium as a Fixed Point

September 2, 2014

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

Preliminaries

- the first variant of the basic model we study restricts to private values and complete information
- all preferences are known there are *m* firms and *n* consumers and *k* physical goods
- ► X = ℝ^{mnk} the set of feasible allocations listing production of firms and consumption by consumers
- ► an outcome {x₁,..., x_n} is a list of consumption vectors one for each of the consumers. Consumption and production vectors are in ℝ^k assuming there are k physical commodities
- ▶ feasiblity there are *m* firms each firm can produce any vector y_i ∈ Y_i its production set

the set of feasible allocations is

Y =

$$\left\{x \in X : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \sum_{j=1}^m y_j + \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i; y_1 \in Y_1, \dots, y_m \in Y_m\right\}$$

 ω_i is consumer *i*'s endowment.

the set of feasible allocations could distinguish physical commodities depending on when they are consumed, or under what conditions they are consumed. We won't worry too much about this here. for consumers preferences are given by u_i (x_i): consumers care only about their own consumption vector, and not about other consumer's allocations, or production choices of firms.

 Firm production sets are constants, so there are no production externalities

Institutions

- we study the Walrasian outcome which is generated the following way
- there is a price vector $p \in \mathbb{R}^k_+$
- each firm chooses a production vector to maximize profits
- each consumer *i* owns a share a strategy λ_{ij} of the profits of firm *j*.

▶ given a set of production choices {y_j}_{j=1,...m} each consumer has budget set

$$\left\{x_i: px_i \leq p\omega_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_{ij} py_j\right\}$$

- an auctioneer announces price p
- consumers choose their favourite consumption bundle from their budget set
- firms maximize profits
- the auctioneer adjusts the price to minimize excess demand

Walrasian Equilibrium

- ▶ a Walrasian Equilibrium is a list $\{x^*, y^*, p^*\}$ such that
- ▶ for each firm *j*

$$p^*y_j^* \ge p^*y' \forall y' \in Y_j$$

▶ for each consumer $u_i(x_i^*) \ge u_i(x_i)$ for every *i* and x_i such that

$$p^* x_i \leq p^* \omega_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_{ij} p^* y_j^*$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_j^* + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \omega_i$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Existence of Walrasian Equilibrium

Firms' supply correspondence $y_j(p)$ is defined as

 $\arg \max \{ py_j : y_j \in Y_j \}$

consumer i's demand correspondence

$$x_i(p) = rg \max \left\{ u_i(x_i) : px_i \le p\omega_i + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_{ij} py_j(p)
ight\}$$

the aggregate excess demand correspondence is given by

$$z(p) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i(p) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i - \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_j(p)$$

 assume that preferences are monotonic in the sense defined in the description of the first welfare theorem. Then

$$pz(p)\equiv 0$$

this is called Walras Law - it follows trivially from the fact that consumers with monotonic preferences will always choose consumption vectors that completely exhaust their budgets

- Brouwer's Fixed Point Theorem: Let f : S^{k-1} → S^{k-1} be a continuous mapping. Then ∃p ∈ S^{k-1} such that f(p) = p.
- Existence of Walrasian Equilibrium: Suppose that z(p) is a continuous function and that preferences are monotonic so that Walras Law holds. Then there is a Walrasian equilibrium allocation.

Proof: We show that there is a price the auctioneer can set such that excess demand is zero for every commodity. For each commodity *i* define

$$g_i(p) = rac{p_i + \max(0, z_i(p))}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \max(0, z_j(p))}$$

The denominator is always strictly positive and continuous, the numerator is non-negative and continuous. This follows from a number of facts: first we assumed z(p) is continuous. Since z is a vector valued function, that means that each of its components is continuous. Second, the maximum of two continuous functions is continuous. Third, sums of continuous functions are continuous. Next observe that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k g_i(p) =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k rac{p_i + \max(0, z_i(p))}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \max(0, z_j(p))} = 1$$

So g(p) is a continuous mapping from S^{k-1} into itself. So by Brouwer's theorem, there is a fixed point p^* such that $p^* = g(p^*)$. We want to show that $z(p^*) = 0$. Note that

$$p_i^* = rac{p_i^* + \max(0, z_i(p^*))}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \max(0, z_j(p^*))}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

so that

$$p_i^*\left[1+\sum_{j=1}^n \max(0,z_j(p^*))
ight]=p_i^*+\max(0,z_i(p^*))$$

for each *i*. Cancel the p_i^* on each side and multiply both sides by $z_i(p^*)$ to get

$$z_i(p^*)p_i^*\sum_{j=1}^n \max(0, z_j(p^*)) = z_i(p^*)\max(0, z_i(p^*))$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

Sum over i and use Walras law on the left hand side to get

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} z_i(p^*) \max(0, z_i(p^*))$$

which ensures that $z_i(p^*) \le 0$ for each *i*. If $z_i(p^*) < 0$ for any *i*, then Walras law requires $p_i^* = 0$. But if that is true $z_i(p^*) = \infty$ because of monotonicity of preferences. This contradiction proves that $z_i(p^*) = 0$ for every *i*.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・

 ・